

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
FEB 21 2006

PAGE 1 OF 11

ANTHONY LLOYD APPLICATION NUMBER 10/779,623

Mr. Benjamin H. Layno,
Primary examiner
Art unit 3711

Dear Mr. Layno,

Thank you for the complete analysis of my Mathematical Problem solving game submission.

Your points related to the card display rejections are accepted and I have replaced the card display feature with a "Game control box" to eliminate the playing card function this required considerable text change (Removed text bracketed) and added text bold and underlined the game features remain identical and just the means of displaying the random numbers have changed.

Unfortunately my original submission did not fully portray the uniqueness of the mathematical game, clarification has been added to the submission that required descriptive changes without any change of substance and claims.

I have studied the prior art cited in your rejection, but other than the playing card feature I truly see no violations related to this present submission. The fixed format rules that I have invented I consider to be totally unique, I am proposing the market name of "Triple Solution" perhaps my poor submission did indicate a connection to prior art, but after reading this submission I feel confident that you will agree that I have not infringed on prior art and in particular not the prior art of Mr. Moore or Mr. Gulag. This is a totally unique fun game, I just hope that my submission illustrates that.

Yours truly,
Anthony Lloyd
p.s. it has been a busy weekend

BEST AVAILABLE COPY